ADHD 3



A product of the American Occupational Therapy Association's Evidence-Based Literature Review Project

Medication and behavior therapy, alone or together, improve classroom behavior of children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

CITATION: Carlson, C. L., Pelham, W. E., Milich, R., & Dixon, J. (1992). Single and combined effects of methilphenidate and behavior therapy on the classroom performance of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *20*(2) 232.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: IA1a

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE/QUESTION

Single and combined effects of two interventions (stimulants and behavioral intervention) in the treatment of ADHD.

DESIGN

RCT		Single case	Case control
Cohort	Х	Before-after	Cross-sectional

RCT = randomized control trial

A counterbalance design was used.

SAMPLING PROCEDURE

	Random		Consecutive	
Х	Controlled		Convenience	

DSM-III criteria

SAMPLE

N=24 <i>M</i> age= (<i>SD</i> =17	110 months Mal ' months)	e=24	Ethnicity=NR	Female=0

NR=Not reported

PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS

Seven participants met criteria for a codiagnosis of conduct disorder, and an additional 12 met criteria for a diagnosis of oppositional disorder but not conduct disorder.

MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS/CLINICAL DISORDER

ADHD

OT TREATMENT DIAGNOSIS

N/A

OUTCOMES

Behavioral and academic performance of children with ADHD

Measures	Reliability	Validity
1) Behavioral measures: Classroom	<i>r</i> = .92 (on-task)	Y, but not
Observations of Conduct and Attention Deficit	<i>r</i> = .96	discussed
Disorders (COCADD) Observational Scheme	(disruptive	
(adapted) direct observations of physical	behavior)	
aggression/intrusion, verbal intrusion, talking to		
self, and leaving seat		
2) Academic measures: Timed arithmetic task,		
timed reading task, and assigned seat work		
3) Self-rating: a self-rating questionnaire was		
administered to participants each day		

Outcome—OT terminology

Performance areas:

- Work and productive activities
- Educational activities

Performance components:

- Psychosocial skills and psychological components: social and self-management
- Cognitive integration and cognitive components

Outcome—ICIDH-2 terminology

Impairments Activity limitations

INTERVENTION

- Stimulant medication (placebo vs. 0.3 and 0.6)
- Behavioral therapy (behavior therapy and regular class)

Description

- Classroom procedures: 6 different classes
- Behavioral management conditions: classrooms were managed with a comprehensive behavior management program consisting of social and token (point) reinforcement, classroom structure, rules, feedback, time out, home-based daily report program.

Who delivered

- Developmental specialists
- Undergraduate research assistants

Setting

School

Frequency

- 60 min classes
- Children in the summer program were grouped together with peers of similar age; each group of 12 children participated in a variety of recreational and academic activities throughout the day.

Duration

8-week intensive summer program

Follow-up

N/A

RESULTS

- Analyses revealed a main effect of medication and a trend for a main effect of behavior modification condition, for on-task behavior.
- Analyses of disruptive behavior revealed main effects for behavior modification condition and medication as well as a significant behavior modification by medication interaction.
- Follow-up analyses were conducted to compare performance in each of 2 classroom settings for each dosage of methylphenidate (MPH). These analyses revealed a similar pattern of results for the two variables.

Full behavior modification condition:

- a) Lower rates of on-task behavior on placebo than on 0.3 mg/kg or 0.6 mg/kg MPH
- b) Rates of disruptive behavior on placebo were significantly higher than on 0.6 mg/kg and showed a trend toward being higher than on 0.3 mg/kg. No differences in on-task or disruptive behavior were found between the 2 MPH dosages.

Regular classroom setting:

- a) Children on 0.6 mg/kg displayed significantly higher rates of on-task and lower rates of disruptive behavior than those on 0.3 mg/kg.
- b) Children on placebo showed significantly poorer performance on both measures than children on either 0.3 mg/kg or 0.6 mg/kg MPH.

Academic measures:

- a) Significant main effects of medication were found for number of timed math problems attempted, timed reading percentage correct, and percentage of seatwork completed.
- b) Follow-up comparisons of medication effects revealed that children on placebo performed more poorly than those on 0.3 mg/kg or 0.6 mg/kg, with no significant differences in performance found between the 2 MPH dosages.

Self-rating measures:

- a) Analyses revealed main effects of behavior modification condition on question 8 (How fair was the teacher to you today?)
- b) Significant effects on medication were found for Question 3 (How well did you follow the rules in class today?)
- c) Follow-up analyses revealed that children receiving 0.3 mg/kg or 0.6 mg/kg MPH rated themselves as performing better, trying harder, following rules better, completing more work, and working more accurately than those on placebo. In addition, children on 0.6 mg/kg MPH perceived that their pill helped more and their teachers were fairer than did children on placebo.

CONCLUSIONS

- Children showed significantly higher rates of on-task behavior, lower rates of disruptive behavior, and higher rates of following rules when receiving MPH than when receiving placebo. The medication effects on on-task and disruptive behavior interacted with classroom setting such that in regular classroom settings, increasing the dose of MPH had a linear effect of increasing dosage.
- The study seems to suggest that low dosages of MPH are sufficient to maximally improve children's behavior when behavioral classroom techniques are used.

LIMITATIONS

All subjects had prior exposure to the behavior modification classroom in the 5 weeks prior to the observation weeks. Nineteen of the 24 subjects also had one other psychiatric diagnosis (either conduct disorder [CD] or oppositional defiant disorder [ODD]), perhaps limiting the generalizability of the results to the ADHD population that is comorbid for CD or ODD.

 Terminology used in this document is based on two systems of classification current at the time the evidence-based literature reviews were completed: Uniform Terminology for Occupational Therapy Practice—Third Edition (AOTA, 1994) and International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICIDH-2) (World Health Organization [WHO], 1999). More recently, the Uniform Terminology document was replaced by Occupational Therapy Practice Framework: Domain and Process (AOTA, 2002), and modifications to ICIDH-2 were finalized in the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (WHO, 2001).

This work is based on the evidence-based literature review completed by Erna Imperatore Blanche, PhD, OTR/L, FAOTA, and Gustavo Reinoso, OTR/L. Contributions to the evidence brief were provided by Michele Youakim, PhD.

For more information about the Evidence-Based Literature Review Project, contact the Practice Department at the American Occupational Therapy Association, 301-652-6611, x 2040.

Copyright 2004 American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved. This material may be reproduced and distributed without prior written consent.