AOTA SPECIALTY CERTIFICATION IN LOW VISION

Occupational Therapy Assistant Candidate Application

American Occupational Therapy Association
4720 Montgomery Lane
Bethesda, MD 20814-5320
800-SAY-AOTA, ext. 2838 (Members)
301-652-AOTA, ext. 2838 (Nonmembers and Local)
800-377-8555 (TDD)

prodev@aota.org
http://www.aota.org/certification

CONTENTS

Background and Information

Purpose

Benefits of Certification

Authority

Occupational Therapy Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards

Eligibility

Submission Deadlines and Review Period

Application Fee

Application

Part A. Applicant Information

Employment/Volunteer Verification Form

Part B. Reflective Portfolio

About this certification

Identification of activity choices to provide evidence for criteria

Ethical practice—1 of 3

Ethical practice—2 of 3

Ethical practice—3 of 3

Part C. Self-Assessment

Part D. Professional Development Plan

Checklist and Attestation

Payment Information

Appendix—References

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

BACKGROUND AND INFORMATION
AOTA Specialty Certification in Low Vision

Purpose

Through its Specialty Certification programs, the American Occupational Therapy Association (AOTA) provides formal recognition for practitioners who have engaged in a voluntary process of ongoing professional development and who are able to translate that development into improved client outcomes.

The AOTA certification process recognizes applicants who have carefully designed and systematically completed professional development activities that facilitate achievement of the criteria delineated for a specialized practitioner in the certification area.

AOTA Specialty Certification is based on peer-review that includes (1) demonstration of relevant experience, (2) a reflective portfolio, and (3) ongoing professional development. The objectives of Specialty Certification are to

1. Create a community of practitioners who share a commitment to continuing competence and the development of the profession.
2. Facilitate and respond to the future development of best practice, education, and research in occupational therapy.
3. Assist consumers and others in the health care community in identifying practitioners with expertise in recognized areas of practice.

Benefits of Certification

- *Clinicians*—Personal accomplishment, professional recognition, career advancement
- *Administrators*—Career laddering, The Joint Commission and other stakeholders, marketing
- *Faculty*—Models the importance of ongoing professional development and reinforces the critical examination of clinical practice, which can be extended to support learning opportunities for students.

Authority

Low Vision Specialty Certification is awarded by AOTA and is
- A private program
- Not awarded or required by federal or state governments
- Not required as part of the minimum qualifications to work as an occupational therapist or occupational therapy assistant
- Voluntary.

Low Vision Specialty Certification is awarded to individuals who have demonstrated the capacity for meeting identified criteria that reflect specialized occupational therapy practice in the area of low vision through a peer-reviewed reflective portfolio process.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Administration of the program is by the AOTA Board for Advanced and Specialty Certification (BASC) under the auspices of the AOTA Commission on Continuing Competence and Professional Development (CCCPD).

Occupational Therapy Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards

Articulated within Principle 1 of the *Occupational Therapy Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards* is the expectation that occupational therapy practitioners shall provide services that are within their scope of practice. Principle 5 reminds that the practitioner is responsible for "maintaining high standards and continuing competence in practice, education, and research by participating in professional development and educational activities to improve and update knowledge and skills." (AOTA, 2010, p. S23)

The Specialty Certification program embodies these ethical principles by offering applicants a way to document and reflect on professional development in which they have engaged, as well as determine future learning needs and plan subsequent professional development activities that will enhance their practice.

Reference

American Occupational Therapy Association. (2010). Occupational therapy code of ethics and ethics standards. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *64*, S17–S26. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2010.64S17

Eligibility

- Professional or technical degree or equivalent in occupational therapy
- Certified or licensed by and in good standing with an AOTA-recognized credentialing or regulatory body
- Minimum of 2,000 hours[1] as an occupational therapy assistant
- Minimum of 600 hours *delivering* occupational therapy services in the certification area to clients (person, organization, or populations) in the past 5 calendar years.[1, 2, 3]
- Verification of employment.

[1] Experience and service delivery hours must be at the level for which certification is sought. For example, applicants seeking certification at the occupational therapist level must have accumulated the necessary hours as an occupational therapist, not as an occupational therapy assistant or other type of professional.

[2] One foundation of the Low Vision Specialty Certification is that *initial* certification is considered to be practice based. That does not mean that managers, researchers, and faculty cannot apply. However, it does mean that applicants need to have at least 600 actual service delivery hours in the certification area. It is important to note that, while faculty may apply for certification, students in occupational therapy academic programs are not considered clients. Teaching that does not include service delivery with actual recipients of occupational therapy services does not count toward these 600 hours.

[3] Service delivery may be paid or voluntary.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Submission Deadlines and Review Period

- Applications will be accepted in **June** and **December** of each year for all certifications. Upcoming deadlines will be listed at www.aota.org/certification.
- Applications are peer-reviewed and processed over a 4-month period following the application deadline. Review for June applications occurs July to October; review for December applications occurs January to April.
- Applications are confirmed as Approved, Denied, or Clarification Needed. Applications that require minimal clarification will be processed with no additional fee. Applications that require clarification significant enough that the content of the application may be altered will be charged an additional $100 processing fee.

Application Fee

Specialty Certification: $375.00 (nonrefundable)

Applicants must be AOTA members at the time of application and at the time certification is granted. Membership is not required to maintain certification once granted, except at the time of renewal.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

LOW VISION APPLICATION
Part A. Applicant Information

Please complete the following information.

APPLICANT INFORMATION

AOTA Member ID _____

Name (*Last, First, MI*) _____

Credentials _____

Primary E-mail _____

Home Address _____

City _____ State _____ ZIP _____

Home Phone _____ Work Phone _____

ACADEMIC BACKGROUND List up to 4 degrees.

University/College Name	Year Graduated	Degree Received
		Select One
		Select One
		Select One
		Select One

Year of *initial* certification by NBCOT _____

CURRENT LICENSURE If not required by state, please mark "n/a."

State(s) Licensed	License Number(s)	Expiration Date

If more than 4, please list additional here. _____

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

OTHER CERTIFICATIONS

Certifying Agency	Credential Awarded, If Any	Date of Initial Certification	Certification Expiration Date

If more than 4, please list additional here.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

Organization Name	Organization's Focus/Mission	Dates of Membership

If more than 4, please list additional here.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

EMPLOYMENT—CURRENT Primary

Employer Name					
Dates with Employer					
Current Position or Title					
Employer Address					
City		State		ZIP	

Type of Setting

- ☐ Academic Institution
- ☐ Community-Based Setting
- ☐ Government—Federal
- ☐ Government—Local, State
- ☐ Home Health Agency
- ☐ Long-term Care Facility/SNF
- ☐ Hospital Setting
- ☐ Military
- ☐ Non-profit Agency
- ☐ Private Industry
- ☐ Private Practice
- ☐ Rehab Facility
- ☐ School System
- ☐ Other (please specify): _____

Clients Served

Please identify the populations served at this setting on which this application is based.

EMPLOYMENT – CURRENT Secondary, if applicable

Employer Name					
Dates With Employer					
Current Position or Title					
Employer Address					
City		State		ZIP	

Type of Setting

- ☐ Academic Institution
- ☐ Community-Based Setting
- ☐ Government—Federal
- ☐ Government—Local, State
- ☐ Home Health Agency
- ☐ Long-term Care Facility/SNF
- ☐ Hospital Setting
- ☐ Military
- ☐ Non-profit Agency
- ☐ Private Industry
- ☐ Private Practice
- ☐ Rehab Facility
- ☐ School System
- ☐ Other (please specify): _____

Clients Served

Please identify the populations served at this setting on which this application is based.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

EMPLOYMENT—PAST
If there are employers in the past 5 years other than those listed above, please identify below.

Previous Employer Name	State	Dates With Previous Employer

VERIFICATION OF EMPLOYMENT/VOLUNTEER SERVICE

An employment/volunteer verification form is required to provide third-party verification of the required hours (see next page). Applicants may submit as many forms as needed to verify the required hours, and duplication of the form is acceptable if needed for more than one employer.

> **Instructions for submitting Verification Form**: Print the form and have employers(s) complete. Include the form as a scanned document as the first page(s) of either the application or evidence file.

Tracking Hours—It is up to applicants how to track the specifics of their service delivery. We ask only for the employment verification form(s) to be submitted, so be sure that whoever is verifying the information feels comfortable and ethical with whatever tracking system is used.

Self-Employed—Because private practice takes on many different forms, applicants have varying ways in which to handle employment verification. Examples of who might verify the form include

- Administrator for a company/organization that contracted with the private practitioner for services
- Referral source
- Business partner or co-owner
- Accountant for the practice.

If none of the options listed above fit an applicant's situation, and the applicant has an alternative source for verification to use, the applicant may forward that information for review and approval to prodev@aota.org prior to submitting an application.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

AOTA SPECIALTY CERTIFICATION
Employment/Volunteer Verification Form

Employer:

- You are being asked to verify employment or delivery of occupational therapy services for someone who is applying for Specialty Certification by the American Occupational Therapy Association (AOTA).
- Please complete all sections of this form and **return it to the applicant** so that it can be included in his or her application portfolio.
- If you have questions, please contact AOTA at prodev@aota.org or (301) 652-6611, ext. 2838. Thank you for your assistance!

Applicant:

- Submit only as many forms as needed to verify the required hours of occupational therapy experience. Duplication of the form is acceptable if more than one employer is completing the form.
- The form must be submitted as the first page(s) of the electronic portfolio of scanned evidence (e.g., portable document format [PDF]) that is submitted in support of the application. The application will not be accepted if materials are submitted separately.

_____
Applicant Name

Certification Sought
☐ Driving & Community Mobility
☐ Environmental Modification
☐ Feeding, Eating, Swallowing
☐ Low Vision
☐ School Systems

_____
Name of Facility/Company/Organization

_____ _____
City State

_____ _____
Applicant Start Date Applicant End Date

Employment Type:
☐ Full-time
☐ Part-time
☐ Contract/PRN
☐ Volunteer

PART A
Experience as an occupational therapist or occupational therapy assistant. May include direct intervention, supervision, teaching, consultation, administration, case or care management, community programming, or research.

This employment/volunteer service represents _____ hours within the past 5 calendar years toward the **2,000 hours** required as an occupational therapist or occupational therapy assistant.

PART B
Experience delivering occupational therapy services to clients (persons, populations, or groups) that are specific to the certification area. Students in OT or OTA academic programs are not considered clients.

This employment/volunteer service represents _____ hours within the past 5 calendar years toward the **600 hours** requirement for delivering occupational therapy services to clients in the certification area.

_____
Name of Person Completing Form (*please print)*

_____
Signature

_____ _____
Job Title Phone Number

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

LOW VISION APPLICATION
PART B. Reflective Portfolio

Occupational therapy practitioners applying for SCLV certification must practice in low vision rehabilitation. The National Eye Institute defines low vision as a condition that limits the person's ability to complete everyday activities and cannot be corrected by lenses, medical intervention, or surgery. While this definition includes blindness, most persons with low vision have some useable vision and are able to complete daily activities with the help of magnifiers and assistive technology.

Low vision is characterized by impairments in acuity and/or visual field and include:

- Age-related eye diseases including macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy and glaucoma are the leading causes of low vision in the United States.
- Impairments in acuity and visual field caused by brain injuries are also considered to be low vision conditions when they result in long-term conditions. These visual impairments include hemianopsia and other visual field deficits, nystagmus, visual vestibular disorders that reduce gaze stability, optic nerve damage, focusing impairments from cranial nerve injuries, brainstem injury or damage to eye structures, and light sensitivity and reduced dark-light adaptation.

Low vision does not include:

- Cognitive disorders that result from brain injury–including neglect and other attention disorders–and dyslexia and other reading disorders are not included in the definition of low vision.
- Perceptual and reading disorders that are treated through the use of vision therapy.

Practitioners applying for Specialty Certification in Low Vision should

- Have experience in working with adults, ages 18 years or older, who have deficiencies in acuity and visual field as a result of eye disease/conditions or brain injury.
- Have experience and expertise in the use of optical devices and assistive technology to enhance vision.
- Have a history of collaborating with optometrists, ophthalmologists and other vision rehabilitation professionals.
- Demonstrate breadth in their experience so that it is not limited to working only with clients with a single condition (e.g., visual-vestibular dysfunction or focusing deficiencies).

AOTA certification programs focus on *continuing competence*, or the building of capacity to meet identified criteria. Continuing competence is a component of ongoing professional development or lifelong learning. Applicants are expected to engage in a process of *self-appraisal* relative to the identified criteria. This involves the deliberate selection of the best supporting evidence that demonstrates applicant's potential for meeting identified criteria and answers the question, What evidence would best indicate that I meet the criteria for specialized practice?

Submit only 1 activity for each criterion. Complete the required professional development activity form for each activity being submitted.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Items to Submit

In addition to submitting this fillable application form, applicants must create a single separate file (e.g., PDF) of the

1. Employment/Volunteer verification form
2. 11 activity forms – 1 for each criterion
3. Any additional evidence as required on the activity forms.

Guidelines:

- For each of the 11 criteria below, choose only 1 of the available options to submit as part of the application.
- Activities must have occurred within the 5 years prior to submitting the application.
- An activity may not be used to meet more than 1 criterion. For example, a formal learning activity engaged in for Criterion 1 may not also be used for Criterion 3.

The following page outlines the professional development criteria required for low vision certification. The criteria are based on the 5 *AOTA Standards for Continuing Competence:* Knowledge, Critical Reasoning, Interpersonal Skills, Performance Skills, and Ethical Practice (AOTA, 2010).

Reference

American Occupational Therapy Association. (2010). Standards for continuing competence. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *64*, S103–S105. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2010.64S103

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Reflective Portfolio – Professional Development Activities

CRITERION 1

Knowledge: Diagnostic Considerations—*Demonstrates knowledge of primary and secondary conditions that impact occupational engagement related to low vision.*

Select One

CRITERION 2

Knowledge: Assessment—*Demonstrates knowledge of relevant evidence specific to assessment in low vision.*

Select One

CRITERION 3

Knowledge: Intervention—*Demonstrates knowledge of relevant evidence specific to intervention in low vision.*

Select One

CRITERION 4

Knowledge: Regulation and Payers—*Demonstrates knowledge of laws and regulations relevant to low vision, including payer sources.*

Select One

CRITERION 5

Assessment: Performance Skills—*Administers standardized assessments as delegated by the supervising occupational therapist specific to low vision, consistently integrating clinical observations.*

Select One

CRITERION 6

Intervention: Performance Skills—*In collaboration with the supervising occupational therapist, performs interventions that are unique to low vision while integrating impact of varying client factors and contexts.*

Select One

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

CRITERION 7

Intervention: Critical Reasoning—*In collaboration with the supervising occupational therapist, selects, plans, and modifies interventions in low vision based on evidence and evaluation data.*

Select One

CRITERION 8

Psychosocial Critical Reasoning—*In collaboration with the supervising occupational therapist, recognizes immediate and long-term implications of psychosocial issues related to conditions of low vision and modifies therapeutic approach and occupational therapy service delivery accordingly.*

Select One

CRITERION 9—This criterion is addressed directly in this application in 3 parts over the next 6 pages.

Ethical Practice – *Identifies ethical implications associated with the delivery of services in low vision and articulates a process for navigating through identified issues.*

CRITERION 10

Establishes Networks—*Establishes and collaborates with referral sources and stakeholders to help the client and relevant others achieve outcomes that support health and participation in the area of low vision.*

Select One

CRITERION 11

Advocating for Change—*Influences services for clients (person, organization, or population) in low vision through independent or collaborative education or advocacy activities.*

Select One

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

ETHICAL PRACTICE SCENARIO (Part 1 of 3)—Client Based

Criterion 9—Ethical Practice: **Client-Based**

Identifies ethical implications associated with the delivery of services in low vision and articulates a process for navigating through identified issues.

Guidelines

- The applicant identifies ethical implications associated with the delivery of services and articulates a process for navigating through the identified issues.
- The applicant shall review the AOTA Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards and align the dilemma with the ethical principle(s) that is/are challenged.

Ethical Scenarios

Scenario #1

A client can't achieve his reading goals using a lens magnifier. The OT practitioner feels that a CCTV would enable him to meet the goal but the client has limited income and would probably not be able to purchase the device. The practitioner discusses showing the client a CCTV with the referring optometrist. The optometrist is opposed, stating there is no point showing the client something he cannot afford.

Scenario #2

A client has severe vision impairment from AMD. The client has many limitations in basic and I-ADLS because of her vision loss. The Medicare HMO will cover 3 therapy sessions. The client's daughter wants the practitioner to focus only on teaching her to view eccentrically and use a magnifier to read books. The client expresses significant frustration with her ADL limitations but defers to her daughter because she knows best.

Scenario #3

A client does not meet the state vision requirements for driving. During the OT evaluation, he denies that he was driving. He confides later to the OTA that he occasionally drives to a near by shopping center when his daughter can't shop for him. He feels that he drives safely and has no intention of stopping driving. He asks the OTA to keep his secret.

1. To which scenario are you responding?

   Select One

2. From the AOTA Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards, which ethical principle(s) has/have been challenged in this scenario? *Select the top ethical principle(s) that apply, up to a maximum of 3.*

   ☐ 1. Beneficence
   ☐ 2. Non-maleficence
   ☐ 3. Autonomy, Confidentiality
   ☐ 4. Social Justice
   ☐ 5. Procedural Justice
   ☐ 6. Veracity
   ☐ 7. Fidelity

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

3. Describe how you would apply the ethical principles identified above to guide you toward a resolution for the concern noted. *(average word guideline—500)*

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

ETHICAL PRACTICE SCENARIO (Part 2 of 3)—Fiscal & Regulatory

Criterion 9—Ethical Practice: **Fiscal & Regulatory**

Identifies ethical implications associated with the delivery of services in low vision and articulates a process for navigating through identified issues.

Guidelines

- The applicant identifies ethical implications associated with the delivery of services and articulates a process for navigating through the identified issues.
- The applicant shall review the AOTA Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards and align the dilemma with the ethical principle(s) that is/are challenged.

Ethical Scenarios

Scenario #4

A company hires an OT practitioner to provide low vision services to older adults. During orientation, the manager tells the practitioner that all clients receive the same 8 session intervention protocol regardless of eye disease or level of vision impairment. The protocol addresses eccentric viewing and the company advertises that it will improve acuity by 2 lines.

Scenario #5

An optometrist approached an OTA to join his private practice and provide low vision services to his patients. The OTA had no experience or education in low vision rehabilitation. The OD said that he would train and supervise the OTA. The OD plans to bill Medicare for the OTA's services under his provider number and have the OTA provide interventions in the patient's home.

Scenario #6

An OTA works for an OT with a private low vision practice. The OT is an authorized vendor for a specific brand of CCTV. The OTA's client is unable to achieve his reading goal using the practice's brand of CCTV. The OTA believes he could achieve the goal using a different CCTV brand and discusses this with the OT. The OT instructs the OTA to find a way to enable the client to use the brand sold by the practice.

4. To which scenario are you responding?

Select One

5. From the AOTA Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards, which ethical principle(s) has/have been challenged in this scenario? *Select the top ethical principle(s) that apply, up to a maximum of 3.*

- ☐ 1. Beneficence
- ☐ 2. Non-maleficence
- ☐ 3. Autonomy, Confidentiality
- ☐ 4. Social Justice
- ☐ 5. Procedural Justice
- ☐ 6. Veracity
- ☐ 7. Fidelity

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

6. Describe how you would apply the ethical principles identified above to guide you toward a resolution for the concern noted. *(average word guideline—500)*

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

ETHICAL PRACTICE SCENARIO (Part 3 of 3)—Scope of Practice

Criterion 9—Ethical Practice: **Scope of Practice**

Identifies ethical implications associated with the delivery of services in low vision and articulates a process for navigating through identified issues.

Guidelines

- The applicant identifies ethical implications associated with the delivery of services and articulates a process for navigating through the identified issues.
- The applicant shall review the AOTA Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards and align the dilemma with the ethical principle(s) that is/are challenged.

Ethical Scenarios

Scenario #7
An OT asks the OTA to administer visual scanning tests to a client with a TBI to determine if he had hemi-inattention in addition to a hemianopsia. The OTA had observed the OT administer the tests one time but had never personally performed the assessment.
Scenario #8
An OT practitioner provides services in a region with limited low vision rehabilitation resources. An optometrist who refers to the practitioner routinely wants the practitioner to provide long cane training to several of his patients.
Scenario #9
A client with moderate visual impairment manages a business located in an area with several restaurants within walking distance. The client's goal is to safely navigate the streets to meet friends for lunch. She reports knowing the vicinity "like the back of her hand" but fears falling or stepping out in front of a car. There are no orientation and mobility services available in her part of the state.

7. To which scenario are you responding?

   Select One

8. From the AOTA Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards, which ethical principle(s) has/have been challenged in this scenario? *Select the top ethical principle(s) that apply, up to a maximum of 3.*

   - ☐ 1. Beneficence
   - ☐ 2. Non-maleficence
   - ☐ 3. Autonomy, Confidentiality
   - ☐ 4. Social Justice
   - ☐ 5. Procedural Justice
   - ☐ 6. Veracity
   - ☐ 7. Fidelity

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

9. Describe how you would apply the ethical principles identified above to guide you toward a resolution for the concern noted. *(average word guideline—500)*

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

LOW VISION APPLICATION
Part C. Self-Assessment

Self-assessment is a formative and dynamic process through which occupational therapy practitioners identify goals for professional development and monitor progress toward goals (Moyers, 2010). Self-assessment answers the question, "What can I do to prepare or increase my capacity for the competency demands of the future?" In the Specialty Certification process applicants will use self-assessment to consider all that they have learned thus far in their achievement of the certification criteria. This self-understanding combined with ideas about the way practice is changing will help applicants determine what they should learn next.

Reference

Moyers, P. A. (2010). Competence and professional development. In K. Sladyk, K. Jacobs, & N. MacRae (Eds.), *Occupational therapy essentials for clinical competence* (pp. 475-484). Thorofare, NJ: Slack.

Guidelines

- Develop the self-assessment by answering the questions below in a single narrative as they relate to the certification criteria collectively. Use examples to support the answers.
- The average answer is 1,350–3,000 words.

Self-Assessment Questions:

- *Describe your current practice in relation to this certification and how you envision your practice area changing in the future.*
- *Having gone through the certification process, what have you discovered that you want to learn more about in relation to the criteria required for this certification area?*

Applicant's Self-Assessment

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

LOW VISION APPLICATION
Part D. Professional Development Plan

Professional development planning in the AOTA certification process requires that applicants develop a plan for learning for the next 5 years related to the certification criteria.

Depending on personal style or the specific criterion selected, goals might emphasize *outcome*, *performance*, or *process*; but it is possible for a goal to include a combination of these elements:

- Outcome—what are you trying to achieve?
- Performance—what task will you complete?
- Process—what specific actions will you take?

Guidelines

Each goal must include the following qualities:

- It must be **relevant** to the identified criterion. For example, an applicant's goal to "learn a new assessment tool" would not be relevant to a criterion that deals with "advancing access to OT services."
- It must be **measureable.** There must be an objective way for the applicant to demonstrate a change toward meeting the goal in the next 5 years.
- It must be **controllable** by the applicant. The applicant should be able to meet the goal regardless of the external environment. For example, a goal to "Discharge all patients safely to home" is not something than can be realistically controlled by the applicant.

Parameters

- Establish 3 professional development goals.
- Do not develop more than 1 goal for a single criterion; 3 different criteria must be represented in the application.
- For each goal, include: its **application to practice**, **success criteria**, **strategies**, and **target date** for completion.
- Write goals that are unique and not simply a reiteration of the criterion.
- Goals should be relevant to your practice.
- Develop goals that represent your own professional development, not the development of others (e.g., students, other staff).
- Goals should be met within the coming 5 years prior to certification renewal.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT GOAL—EXAMPLE 1

Criterion: ***Knowledge:*** *Assessment—Demonstrates knowledge of relevant evidence specific to assessment in low vision.*

Applicant's Goal:

I will improve the quality of my assessments—and subsequently my interventions—by adding one new evidence-based assessment to my repertoire for use with clients with neurological impairment. I will perform a literature review of evidence-based assessments, investigate cost, explore training opportunities, and seek a mentor to ensure I am able to implement the assessment appropriately. Target date: June 20XX.

Necessary components included in above goal:

- **Application to Practice:** I will improve the quality of my assessments—and subsequently my interventions—
- **Success Criteria:** by adding one new evidence-based assessment to my repertoire for use with clients with neurological impairment.
- **Strategies:** I will perform a literature review of evidence-based assessments, investigate cost, explore training opportunities, and seek a mentor to ensure I am able to implement the assessment appropriately.
- **Target Date:** June 20XX

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT GOAL—EXAMPLE 2

Criterion: ***Establishes Networks****—Establishes and collaborates with referral sources and stakeholders to help the client and relevant others achieve outcomes that support health and participation in the area of low vision.*

Applicant's Goal:

I will increase my networking with other low vision occupational therapy practitioners and expand relevant connections for my practice by hosting monthly roundtable discussions. To facilitate this, I will use the AOTA Evidence Exchange to guide identification of relevant discussion topics or questions to be answered. I will advertise to local practitioners, provide a venue, facilitate the roundtable discussions, and collect participant feedback for the purposes of shaping future discussions. Target dates: Monthly from September 20XX through May 20XX.

Necessary components included in above goal:

- **Application to Practice:** I will increase my networking with other low vision occupational therapy practitioners and expand relevant connections for my practice
- **Success Criteria:** by hosting monthly roundtable discussions.
- **Strategies:** I will use the AOTA Evidence Exchange to guide identification of relevant discussion topics or questions to be answered. I will advertise to local practitioners, provide a venue, facilitate the roundtable discussions, and collect participant feedback for the purposes of shaping future discussions.
- **Target Date:** Monthly from September 20XX through May 20XX

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Professional Development Goal 1
To which criterion does this goal apply?

Select One

Applicant's Goal 1:

Professional Development Goal 2
To which criterion does this goal apply?

Select One

Applicant's Goal 2:

Professional Development Goal 3
To which criterion does this goal apply?

Select One

Applicant's Goal 3:

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

LOW VISION APPLICATION

Checklist and Attestation

Checklist of Application Items

- ☐ Applicant Information
- ☐ Employment/Volunteer Verification Form(s)
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 1
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 2
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 3
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 4
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 5
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 6
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 7
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 8
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 9
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 10
- ☐ Reflective Portfolio – Criterion 11
- ☐ Self-Assessment
- ☐ Professional Development Goal 1
- ☐ Professional Development Goal 2
- ☐ Professional Development Goal 3

Item(s) to Submit

1. The following should be uploaded by the application deadline to the following URL: https://www.filesdirect.com/AOTACertification:

A. Certification Application (this document)

B. Single combined file (e.g., .pdf file) that includes

- Employment/Volunteer Verification Form
- All professional activity development forms
- Any additional evidence as required by a particular activity (e.g., CE certificates)

2. Application fee of $375 (submitted separately from application):

- **Credit card**: Call (800) SAY-AOTA (800-729-2682) extension 1708
  Monday- Friday between 9:00-5:00 Eastern to pay by phone
- **Check**: Mail check on or before the application deadline to
  AOTA—Attn: Certification
  4720 Montgomery Lane
  Bethesda, MD 20814-3449

Applicant Attestation

I hereby attest that the information provided in this application is my own and that I have complied with all *Occupational Therapy Code of Ethics and Ethics Standards,* including Beneficence; Nonmaleficence; Autonomy, Confidentiality; Social Justice; Procedural Justice; Veracity; and Fidelity. If granted certification, I will not use my credential to represent myself to others beyond the level for which I am qualified.

_____

Signature *(electronic signature acceptable)*

_____

Date

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

APPENDIX

AOTA Specialty Certification in LOW VISION

References to Support Criteria

KNOWLEDGE

1. Battista, J., Kalloniatis, M., & Metha, A. (2005). Visual function: The problem with eccentricity. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry, 88*(5), 313–321. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-0938.2005.tb06715.x
2. Cimarolli, V. R., Morse, A. R., Horowitz, A., & Reinhardt, J. P. (2012). Impact of vision impairment on intensity of occupational therapy utilization and outcomes in subacute rehabilitation. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 66,* 215–223. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2012.003244
3. Cheung, S., & Legge, G. E. (2005). Functional and cortical adaptations to central vision loss. *Vision Neuroscience*, 22(2), 187–201. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0952523805222071
4. Congdon, N., O'Colmain, B., Klaver, C. C., Klein, R., Muñoz, B., Friedman, D. S., . . . Mitchell, P. (2004). Causes and prevalence of visual impairment among adults in the United States. *Archives of Ophthalmo*logy*, 122,* 477–485. http://dx.doi,org/10.1001/archopht.122.4.477
5. Ehrlich, R., Harris, A., Kheradiya, N. S., Winston, D. M., Ciulla, T. A., & Wirostko, B. (2008). Age-related macular degeneration and the aging eye. *Clnical Interventions in Aging, 3*(3), 473–482. Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2682379/
6. Harwood, R. H. (2001). Visual problems and falls. *Age and Ageing*, 30, 13–18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ageing/30.suppl_4.13
7. Lamoureux, E. L., Hassell, J. B., & Keeffe, J. E. (2004b). The impact of diabetic retinopathy on participation in daily living. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 122*, 84–88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archopht.122.1.84
8. Lamoureux, E. L., Hassell, J. B., & Keeffe, J. E. (2004a). The determinants of participation in activities of daily living in people with impaired vision. *American Journal of Ophthalmology, 137*, 265–270. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2003.08.003
9. Massof R. W. (1998). A systems model for low vision rehabilitation. II. Measurement of vision disabilities*. Optometry and Vision Sciences, 75*(5), 349–373. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00006324-199805000-00025
10. Maylahn, C., Gohdes, D. M., Balamurugan, A., & Larsen, B. A. (2005). Age-related eye diseases: An emerging challenge for public health professionals. *Preventing Chronic Disease, 2,* A17. Available from http://ukpmc.ac.uk/articles/PMC1364526;jsessionid=EOCdEgtIzyRG8BKSZrkD.22
11. Mogk, L. G. (2011). Eye conditions that cause low vision in adults. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 359–402). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.
12. Mogk. L. G., & Mogk. M. (1999)*. Macular degeneration: The complete guide to saving and maximizing your sight.* New York: Ballantine.
13. Nelson, P., Aspinall, P., & O'Brien, C. (1999). Patients' perception of visual impairment in glaucoma: A pilot study. *British Journal of Ophthalmology, 83,* 546–552. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjo.83.5.546

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

14. O'Farrell, L., Lewis S., McKenzie A., & Jones, L. (2010). Charles Bonnet syndrome: A review of the literature. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *104*(5), 261–274. Available from http://sites.csn.edu/science/Biology/Charles%20Bonnet%20Syndrome.pdf

15. Owsley, C. (2003). Contrast sensitivity. *Ophthalmology Clinics of North America, 16*, 171–177. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-1549(03)00003-8

16. Provis, J. M., Penfold, P. L., Cornish, E. E., Sandercoe, T. M., & Madigan, M. C. (2005). Anatomy and development of the macula: Specialisation and the vulnerability to macular degeneration. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry, 88*(5), 269–281. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-0938.2005.tb06711.x

17. Roberts, D. L. (2006). *The first year-age-related macular degeneration: An essential guide for the newly diagnosed.* New York: Marlowe & Co.

18. Ramulu, P. Y., Swenor, B. K., Jefferys, J. L., Friedman, D. S., & Rubin, G. S. (2013). Difficulty with out-loud and silent reading in glaucoma. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Vision Science, 54*, 666–672. http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.12-10618

19. Schuchard, R. A., Naseer, S., & de Castro, K. (1999). Characteristics of AMD patients with low vision receiving visual rehabilitation. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 36*, 294–302.

20. Schuchard, R. A. (1995). Adaptation to macular scotomas in persons with low vision. *American Journal of Occpuational Therapy*, *49,* 870–876. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.49.9.870

21. Windham, B. G., Griswold, M. E., Fried, L. P., Rubin, G. S., Xue, Q.-L., & Carlson, M. C. (2005). Impaired vision and the ability to take medications. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society, 53*, 1179–1190. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53376.x

22. Wood, J. M., Lacherez, P., Black, A. A., Cole, M. H., Boon, M. Y., & Kerr, G. K. (2011). Risk of falls, injurious falls, and other injuries resulting from visual impairment among older adults with age-related macular degeneration. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Vision Science, 52,* 5088–5092. http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.10-6644

23. Zihl, J. (2000). *Rehabilitation of visual disorders after brain injury*. East Sussex, UK: Psychology Press.

PSYCHOLOGICAL ADJUSTMENT

24. Bambara, J. K., Wadley, V., Owsley, C., Martin, R. C., Porter, C., & Dreer L. E. (2009). Family functioning and low vision: A systematic review. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *103,* 137–149.

25. Boerner, K., Reinhardt, J. P., & Horowitz, A. (2006). The effect of rehabilitation service use on coping patterns over time among older adults with age-related vision loss. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, *20*(6), 478–487. http://dx.doi.org/10.1191/0269215506cr965oa

26. Brennan, M. (2004). Spirituality and religiousness predict adaptation to vision loss in middle-aged and older adults. *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 14,* 193–214. http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327582ijpr1403_4

27. Casten, R. J., Rovner, B. W., & Edmonds, S. E. (2002). The impact of depression in older adults with age-related macular degeneration. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *96,* 399–406.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

28. Dreer, L. V. (2011). Evaluation and intervention for psychosocial issues. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 319–358). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.
29. Kleinschmidt, J. J. (1999). Older adults' perspectives on their successful adjustment to vision loss. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 93*(2), 69–80.
30. Lindo, G., & Nordholm, L. (1999). Adaptation strategies, well-being, and activities of daily living among people with low vision. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *93,* 434–446.
31. Love, C. (1999). Perspectives on visual impairment: An Asian American woman shares her story. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *93*(5), 305–311.
32. Mogk, M. (2008). The difference that age makes: Cultural factors that shape older adults' response to age-related macular degeneration. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 102*, 581–590.
33. Reinhardt, J. P. (1996). The importance of friendship and family support in adaptation to chronic vision Impairment. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Science, 5IB,* P268–P278. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/geronb/51B.5.P268
34. Rovner, B. W., Casten, R. J. & Tasman, W. S. (2002). Effect of depression on vision function in age-related macular degeneration. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 120*, 1041–1044. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archopht.120.8.1041
35. Stevenson, M. R., Hart, P. M., Montgomery, A.-M., McCulloch, D. W., & Chakravarthy, U. (2004). Reduced vision in older adults with age-related macular degeneration interferes with ability to care for self and impairs role as carer. *British Journal of Ophthalmology, 88*, 1125–1130. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2003.032383
36. Teitelman, J., & Copolillo, A. (2005). Psychosocial issues in older adults' adjustment to vision loss: Findings from qualitative interviews and focus groups. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 59,* 409–416. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.59.4.409
37. Timberlake, G. T., Bothwell, R. J., & Moyer, K. (2013). Handwriting with a preferred retinal locus for AMD with scotoma. *Optometry and Vision Science, 90*(5). http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0b013e31828e92eb
38. Travis, L. A., Boerner, K., Reinhardt, K., & Horowitz, A. (2004). Exploring functional disability in older adults with low vision. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *98*, 534–545.
39. Verstraten, P. F. J., Brinkmann, W. L. J. H., Stevens, N. L., & Schoutend, J. S. A. G. (2005). Loneliness, adaptation to vision impairment, social support, and depression among visually impaired elderly. *International Congress Series, 1282,* 317–321. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.04.017
40. Wang, S.-W., & Boerner, K. (2008). Staying connected: Re-establishing social relationships following vision loss. *Clinical Rehabilitation, 22*, 816–824. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215508091435
41. Williams, R. A., Brody, B. L., Thomas, R. G., Kaplan, R. M., & Brown, S. I. (1998). The psychosocial impact of macular degeneration. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 116*, 514–520.
42. Windham, B. G., Griswold, M. E., Fried, L. P., Rubin, G. S., Xue, Q.-L., & Carlson, M. C. (2005). Impaired vision and the ability to take medications. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society, 53*, 1179–1190. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53376.x

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

43. Yampolsky, M. A., Wittich, W., Webb, G., & Overbury, O. (2008). The role of spirituality in coping with visual impairment. *Journal of Vision Impairment and Blindness, 102,* 28–39.

SERVICE DELIVERY (broader field of how LVR is provided in the United States, OT role, etc.)

44. Crews, J. E., & Campbell, V. A. (2001). Health conditions, activity limitations, and participation restrictions among older people with visual impairments. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *95*, 453–467.

45. Jones, G. C., Crews, J. E., Roberts, D., Warren, M., Barstow, E. A., & Riddering, A. T. (2011). Living successfully with low vision. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 359–402). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

46. Lagrow, S. l. (2004). The effectiveness of comprehensive low vision services for older persons with visual impairments in New Zealand*. Journal of Vision Impairment and Blindness, 98*, 679–692.

47. McCabe, P., Nason, F., Demers-Turco, P., Friedman, D., & Seddon, J. M. (2000). Evaluating the effectiveness of a vision rehabilitation intervention using an objective and subjective measure of functional performance. *Ophthalmic Epidemiology, 7(4*), 259–270. http://dx.doi.org/10.1076/opep.7.4.259.4173

48. Mogk, L., & Goodrich, G. (2004). The history and future of low vision services in the United States. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *98*(10), 585–600.

49. Moore, L. W., Constantino, R. E., & Allen, M. (2000). Severe visual impairment in older women. *Western Journal of Nursing Research, 22*, 571–595. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/01939450022044601

50. Moore, L. W., & Miller, M. (2003). Older men's experiences of living with severe visual impairment. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 43*, 10–18.

51. Owsley, C., McGwin, G., Lee, P. P., Wasserman, N., & Searcy, K. (2009). Characteristics of low-vision rehabilitation services in the United States. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 125*(5), 681–689. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2009.55

52. Pankow, L., Luchins, D., Studebaker, J., & Chettleburgh, D. (2004). Evaluation of a vision rehabilitation program for older adults with visual impairment*. Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation, 20*(3), 223–232.

53. Reeves, B., Harper, R. A., & Russell, W. B. (2004)*.* Enhanced low vision rehabilitation service for people with age-related macular degeneration: A randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Ophthalmology, 88,* 1443–1449.

54. Scanlan, L. M., & Cuddeford, I. E. (2004). Low vision rehabilitation: A comparison of traditional and extended teaching programs*. Journal of Vision Impairment and Blindness, 98,* 601–611.

55. Warren, M. (2011). An overview of low vision rehabilitation and the role of occupational therapy. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 1–26). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

56. Stelmack, J. (2005). Emergence of a rehabilitation medicine model for low vision service delivery, policy, and funding. *Optometry, 76*(5), 318–326. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1529-1839(05)70315-8

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

57. Studebaker, J., & Pankow, L. (2004). History and evolution of vision rehabilitation: Parallels with rehabilitation medicine, geriatric medicine, and psychiatry. *Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation, 20*(3), 142–153.

58. Orr, A. L., & Rogers, P. (2001). Development of vision rehabilitation services for older people who are visually impaired: A historical perspective. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 95,* 669–689.

59. Whitson, H. E., Steinhauser, K., Ammarell, N., Whitaker, D., Cousins, S. W., Ansah, D., . . . Cohen, H. J. (2011). Categorizing the effect of co-morbidity: A qualitative study of individuals' experiences in a low-vision rehabilitation program. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society, 59*, 1802–1809.

EVALUATION

60. Ah-Kine Ng Poon Hing, D., Vaidhyan, J. J., Pathak, A., Quinn, N., Deng, L., Lyons, S., & Moore, B. (2007). Comparison of visual acuity measured with Lea symbols and Lea numbers at different test distances. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, *48*(5), 48–52.

61. Arditi, A. (2005). Improving the design of the Letter Contrast Sensitivity Test. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, 46*(6), 2225–2229. http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.04-1198

62. Azouvi, P., Olivier, S., de Montety, G., Samuel, C., Louis-Dreyfus, A., & Tesio, L. (2003). Behavioral assessment of unilateral neglect: Study of the psychometric properties of the Catherine Bergego scale. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 84*, 51–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/apmr.2003.50062

63. Baldasare, J., Watson, G. R., Whittaker, S. G., & Miller-Shaffer, H. (1986). The development and evaluation of a reading test for low vision individuals with macular loss. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *80,* 785–789.

64. Barstow, E. A., & Crossland, M. D. (2011). Intervention and rehabilitation for reading and writing. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 105–152). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

65. Barstow, B. A., Bennett, D. K., & Vogtle, L. K. (2011). Perspectives on home safety: Do home safety assessments address the concerns of clients with vision loss? *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 65,* 635–642. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2011.001909

66. Bourne, R. R. A., Rosser, D. A., Sukudom, P., Dineen, B., Laidlaw, D. A. H., Johnson, G. J., & Murdoch, I. E. (2003). Evaluating a new logMAR chart designed to improve visual acuity assessment in population-based surveys. *Eye*, *17*(6), 754–758. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.eye.6700500

67. Carignan, M., Rousseau, J., Gresset, J., & Couturier, J. A. (2008). Content validity of a home-based person–environment interaction assessment tool for visually impaired adults. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 45(7),* 1037–1052. http://dx.doi.org/10.1682/JRRD.2007.10.0158

68. Cermak, S. A., & Hausser, J. (1989). The Behavioral Inattention Test for Unilateral Visual Neglect. *Physical and Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics*, 7(3), 43–53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/J148v07n03_04

69. Cicerone, K. D., Langenbahn, D. M., Braden, C., Malec, J. F., Kalmar, K., Fraas, M. . . . Ashman, T. (2011). Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: Updated review of the literature from 2003 through 2008. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 92,*

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

519–530. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.11.015

70. Colenbrander, A. (2003). Aspects of vision loss-visual functions and functional vision. *Visual Impairment Research*, *5*(3), 115–136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1388235039048919

71. Colenbrander, A. (2009). Measuring vision and vision loss. In *Duane's ophthalmology* (15th ed.). Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

72. Colenbrander, A., & Fletcher, D. C. (2004). Evaluation of a new mixed-contrast reading card. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, *45*(5), 43–52.

73. Colenbrander, A., & Fletcher, D. C. (2005). The Mixed-Contrast Reading Card, a new screening test for contrast sensitivity. *International Congress Series, 1282,* 492–497. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.05.212

74. Dougherty, B. E., Flom, R. E., & Bullimore, M. A. (2005). An evaluation of the Mars Letter Contrast Sensitivity Test. *Optometry and Vision Science, 82*(11), 970–975. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.opx.0000187844.27025.ea

75. Elliot, R. A., & Marriott, J. L. (2009). Standardised assessment of patients' capacity to manage medications: A systematic review of published instruments. *BMC Geriatrics,* 9(27). Available from http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1471-2318-9-27.pdf

76. Falkenstein, I. A., Cochran, D. E., Azen, S. P., Dustin, L., Tammewar, A. M., Kozak, I., & Freeman, W. R. (2008). Comparison of visual acuity in macular degeneration patients measured with Snellen and early treatment diabetic retinopathy study charts. *Ophthalmology*, *115*(2), 319–323. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2007.05.028

77. Gautheir, L., Dehaut, F., & Yves, J. (1989). The bells test: A quantitative and qualitative test for visual neglect. *International Journal of Neuropsychiatry, 11,* 49–49. Available from http://www.medicine.mcgill.ca/strokengine-assess/index-en.html

78. Gerritsen, B., & Christiansen, R. M. (2005). Contrast sensitivity function testing and magnifier lighting preference. *International Congress Series, 1282,* 64–65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.05.164

79. Goodrich, G. L., Kirby, J., Wood, J., & Peters, L. (2006). The Reading Behavior Inventory: An outcome assessment tool. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 100*(3), 164–168.

80. Hartman-Maeir, A., & Katz, N. (1995). Validity of the Behavioral Inattention Test (BIT): Relationship with functional tasks. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 49*, 507–516. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.49.6.507

81. Lasa, L., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L., Vazquez-Barqero, J. L., Diez-Manrique, F. J., & Dowrick, C. F. (2000). The use of the Beck Depression Inventory to screen for depression in the general adult population: A preliminary analysis*. Journal of Affective Disorders*, *57*(1–3), 261–265.

82. Legge, G. E. (2007). *Psychophysics of reading in normal and low vision.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

83. Mangione, C. M., Lee, P. P., Gutierrez, P. R., Spritzer, K., Berry, S., & Hays, R. D. (2001). Development of the 25-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 119,* 1050–1058. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archopht.119.7.1050

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

84. Mennem, T. A., Warren, M., & Yuen, H. K. (2012). Preliminary validation of a vision-dependent activities of daily living instrument on adults with homonymous hemianopia. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 64*(4), 478–482. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2012.004762

85. Meyers, J. R., & Wilcox, D. T. (2011). Low vision evaluation. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 47–74). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

86. Orwig, D., Brandt, N., & Gruber-Baldini, A. L. (2006). Medication management assessment for older adults in the community. *Gerontology, 46*(5), 661–668. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/geront/46.5.661

87. Pathak, A., Ah-Kine Ng Poon Hing, D., Vaidhyan, J., Quinn, N., Deng, L., Moore, B., & Lyons, S. (2007). Comparison of standard and modified procedures for measuring LogMAR visual acuity using four visual acuity charts. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, *48*(5), 11–69.

88. Shaffer, S., Harrison, A., Brown, K., & Brennan, K. (2005). Reliability and validity of Semmes–Weinstein monofilament testing in older community-dwelling adults. *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy*, *28*(3), 112–113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/00139143-200512000-00019

89. Stelmack, J. A., Szlik, J. P., Stelmack, T. R., Demers-Turco, R. T., Moran, D., & Massof, R. W. (2004). Psychometric properties of the Veterans Affairs Low-Vision Visual Functioning Questionnaire. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, 45*(11), 3919–3928. http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.04-0208

90. Subramanian, A., & Pardhan, S. (2006). The repeatability of MNRead acuity charts and variability at different test distances. *Optometry and Vision Science, 83,* 572–576. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.opx.0000232225.00311.53

91. Sunness, J. S. (2008). Face fields and microperimetry for estimating the location of fixation for eye with macular degeneration. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 102*, 680–689.

92. Trauzettel-Klosinski, S., Dietz, K., & iReST Study Group. (2012). Standardized assessment of reading performance: The new international reading speed test iReST. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, *53,* 5452–5461.

93. Tucker, L. (2004). Resources for adapting low vision training materials for the adult with low literacy skills. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 98*(11), 710–717.

94. Vaidhyan, J. J., Lietzen, P., Ah-Kine Ng Poon Hing, D., Pathak, A., Ahonen, E., Quinn, N., & Moore, B. (2007). Comparison of visual acuity measured with Lea symbols and Lea numbers to adult standards. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, *48*(5), 48–53.

95. Uttl, B., & Pilkenton-Taylor, C. (2001). Letter cancellation performance across the adult life span. *Clinical Neuropsychology*, *15*(4), 521–530. http://dx.doi.org/10.1076/clin.15.4.521.1881

96. Watson, G. R., Wright, V., & Watkins, E. (2004). The development and evaluation of a writing assessment for persons with low vision. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, *98*(3), 160–167.

97. Warren, M., Moore, J. M., & Vogtle, L. K. (2008). Search performance of healthy adults on cancellation tests. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *62*(5), 588–594. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.62.5.588

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

INTERVENTION

98. Bakker, R., Iofel, Y., & Lachs, M. S. (2004). Lighting levels in the dwellings of homebound older adults. *Journal of Housing for the Elderly, 18*, 17–27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J081v18n02_03

99. Bennett Rosenthal, S. (1995). Living with low vision: A personal and professional perspective. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *49*(9), 861–864. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.49.9.861

100. Bouwmeester, L., Heutink, J., & Cees, L. (2007). The effect of visual training for patients with visual field defects due to brain damage: A systematic review. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 78*, 555–564. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2006.103853

101. Boerner, K., & Wang, S.-W. (2010). How it matters when it happens: Life changes related to functional loss in younger and older adults. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development, 70*(2), 163–179. http://dx.doi.org/10.2190/AG.70.2.d

102. Bowers, A. R., Meek, C., & Stewart, N. (2001). Illumination and reading performance in age-related macular degeneration. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry*, *84*, 139–147. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-0938.2001.tb04957.x

103. Brody, B. L., Roch-Levecq, A. C., Gamst, A. C., Maclean, K., Kaplan, R. M., & Brown, S. I. (2002). Self-management of age-related macular degeneration and quality of life: A randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 120,* 1477–1483.

104. Brody, B. L., Roch-Levecq, A. C., Kaplan, R. M., Moutier, C. Y., & Brown, S. I. (2006). Age-related macular degeneration: Self-management and reduction of depressive symptoms in a randomized, controlled study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 54,* 1557–1562. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2006.00881.x

105. Brunnström, G., Sörensen, S., Alsterstad, K., & Sjöstrand, J. (2004). Quality of light and quality of life: The effect of lighting adaptation among people with low vision. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics, 24.* 274–280. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-1313.2004.00192.x

106. Duffy M. (2002). *Making life more livable: Simple adaptations for living at home after vision loss*. New York: American Foundation for the Blind Press.

107. Cimarolli, V. R., Boerner, K., Brennan-Ing, M., Reinhart, J. P., & Horowitz, A. (2011). Challenges faced by older adults with vision loss: A qualitative study with implications for rehabilitation. *Clinical Rehabilitation, 26*(8), 748–757. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215511429162

108. Cook, A. M., & Polgar, J. M. (2008). Sensory aids for persons with visual disabilities. In A. M. Cook, J. M. Polgar, & S. M. Hussey (Eds.), *Cook and Hussey's assistive technologies: Principles and practice (*3rd ed., pp. 274–309). St. Louis: Mosby.

109. Cate, Y. (2011). Evaluation and intervention for diabetes self-management. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 179–226). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

110. Coleman A. L., Stone K., Ewing S. K., Nevitt, M., Cummings, S., Cauley, J. A., . . . Mangione, C. M. (2004). Higher risk of multiple falls among elderly women who lose visual acuity. *Ophthalmology, 111,* 857–862. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2003.09.033

111. Copolillo, A., & Teitelman, J. L. (2005). Acquisition and integration of low vision assistive devices: Understanding the decision-making process of older adults with low

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

vision. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 59,* 305–313. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.59.3.305

112. Dahlin-Ivanoff, S., Sonn, U., & Svensson, E. (2002). A health education program for elderly persons with visual impairments and perceived security in the performance of daily occupations: A randomized study. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 56*, 322–330. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.56.3.322

113. Ecklund, K., Sonn, U., & Dahlin-Ivanhoff, S. (2004). Long-term evaluation of a health education programme for elderly persons with visual impairment: A randomized study. *Disability and Rehabilitation, 26*, 401–409. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09638280410001662950

114. Eklund, K., Sjostrand, J., & Dahlin-Ivanoff, S. (2008). A randomized controlled trial of a health-promotion programme and its effect on ADL dependence and self-reported health problems for the elderly visually impaired. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy, 15*, 68–74. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/11038120701442963

115. Ellexson, M. T. (2004). Access to participation: Occupational therapy and low vision. *Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation, 20*(3), 154–172.

116. Fosse, P., & Valberg, A. (2004). Lighting needs and lighting comfort during reading with age-related macular degeneration. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 98,* 389–409.

117. Freeman, P. B., & Jose, R. T. (1997). *The art and practice of low vision* (2nd ed.). Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann.

118. Gilbert, M. P., & Sikes Baker, S. (2011). Evaluation and intervention for basic and instrumental activities of daily living. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 227–268). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

119. Golembiewski, D., & Charlton, J. (2011). Living with vision loss. In C. H. Christiansen & K. M. Matuska (Eds.), *Ways of living: Intervention strategies to enable participation* (4th ed., pp. 431–456). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

120. Goodrich, G. L., Kirby, J., Oros, T., Wagstaff, P., McDevitt, B., Hazan, J., & Peters, L. (2004). Goldilocks and the three training methods: A comparison of three models of low vision reading training on reading efficiency. *Visual Impairment Research, 6,* 135–152. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13882350490903690

121. Henderson, S. (2004). Driver and traffic safety in older adults: The visually impaired driver. *Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation, 20*(3), 185–195.

122. Ihrig, C., & Schaefer, D. P. (2007). Acquired monocular vision rehabilitation program. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 44*(4) 593–598. http://dx.doi.org/10.1682/JRRD.2006.06.0071

123. Ivanoff, S. D., Sonn, U., & Svensson, E. (2002). A health education program for elderly persons with visual impairments and perceived security in the performance of daily occupations: A randomized study. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *56*(3), 322–330. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.56.3.322

124. Lamoureux, E. L., Hassell, B. A., & Keeffe, J. E. (2003). The impact of diabetic retinopathy on participation in daily living. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 122,* 84–88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archopht.122.1.84

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

125. Lamoureux, E. L., Hassell, B. A., & Keeffe, J. E. (2004). The determinants of participation in activities of daily living in people with impaired vision. *American Journal of Ophthalmology, 137*, 265–270. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2003.08.003

126. Lighting Research Center. (2005, October). *Lighting the way: A key to independence.* Available from http://www.lrc.rpi.edu/programs/lightHealth/AARP/index.asp

127. Haymes, S. A., & Lee, J. (2006). Effects of task lighting on visual function in age-related AMD. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics, 26,* 169–179.

128. Horowitz, A., Brennan, M., Reinhardt, J. P., & Macmillan, T. (2006). The impact of assistive device use on disability and depression among older adults with age-related vision impairments. *Journals of Gerontology: Series B, 61,* S274–S280. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/geronb/61.5.S274

129. Jutai, J. W., Strong, G.., & Russell-Minda, E. (2009). Effectiveness of assistive technologies for low vision rehabilitation: A systematic review. *Journal of Vision Impairment and Blindness, 103,* 210–222.

130. Kern, T., & Miller, N. (1997). Occupational therapy and collaborative interventions for adults with low vision. In M. Gentile (Ed.), *A therapist's guide to the evaluation and treatment of low vision.* Bethesda, MD: American Occupational Therapy Association. [book out of print; delete ref]

131. Mann, W. C., Hurren, D., Karuza, J., & Bentley, D. W. (1993). Needs of home-based older visually impaired persons for assistive devices. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 87,* 106–110.

132. Nowakowski, R. W. (2011). Basic optics and optical devices. In M. Warren & E.A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 75–104). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

133. Markowitz, M. (2006a). Occupational therapy interventions in low vision rehabilitation. *Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology, 41,* 340–347. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/I06-020

134. Markowitz, S. (2006b). Principles of modern low vision rehabilitation. *Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology, 41,* 289–312. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/I06-027

135. Markowitz, S. N., Kent, C. K,, Schuchard, R. A., & Fletcher, D. C. (2008). Ability to read medication labels improved by participation in a low vision rehabilitation program. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 102,* 774–777.

136. Marta, M. R., & Geruschat, D. (2004). Equal protection, the ADA, and driving with low vision: A legal analysis. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 98*(10), 654–667.

137. Morse, A. R., Massof, R. W., Cole, R. G., Mogk, L. G., O'Hearn, A. M., Hsu, Y.-P., . . . Jackson, M. L. (2010). Medicare coverage for vision assistive equipment. *Archives of Ophthalmology, 128*(10), 1350–1357. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2010.228

138. Owsley, C., & McGwin, G. (2008). Driving and age-related macular degeneration. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 102*, 621–635.

139. Perlmutter, M., Bhorade, A., Gordon, M., Hollingsworth, H., & Baum, C. M. (2010). Cognitive, visual, auditory, and emotional factors that impact participation in older adults. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 64,* 570–579. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2010.09089

140. Pollock, A., Hazelton, C., Henderson, C. A., Angilley, J., Dhillon, B., Langhorne, P., . . . Shahani, U. (2011). Interventions for visual field defects in patients with stroke.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD008388. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008388.pub2

141. Rees, G., Saw, C. L., Lamoureux, E. L., & Keeffe, J. E. (2007). Self-management programs for adults with low vision: Needs and challenges. *Patient Education and Counseling, 69,* 39–46. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2007.06.016

142. Riddering, A. T. (2008). Keeping older adults with vision loss safe: Chronic conditions and co-morbidities that influence functional mobility. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 102*, 616–620.

143. Riddering, A. T. (2011). Evaluation and intervention for deficits in home and community mobility. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 269--300). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

144. Scheiman, M., Scheiman, M., & Whittaker, S. G. (2007). *Low vision rehabilitation: A practical guide for occupational therapists.* Thorofare, NJ: Slack.

145. Schoessow, K. (2010). Shifting from compensation to participation: A model for occupational therapy in low vision. *British Journal of Occupational Therapy, 73,* 160–169. http://dx.doi.org/10.4276/030802210X12706313443947

146. Schoessow, K. A., Gilbert, L. M., & Jakcons, M. L. (2010). Low vision rehabilitation intervention for people with ring scotomas. *Journal Vision Impairment and Blindness, 104*(11), 704–709.

147. Schuett, S. (2009). The rehabilitation of hemianopic dyslexia. *Nature Reviews/Neurology*, *5,* 427–437. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2009.97

148. Slakter, J. S., & Stur, M. (2005). Quality of life in patients with age-related macular degeneration: Impact of the condition and benefits of treatment. *Survey of Ophthalmology, 50,* 263–273. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.survophthal.2005.02.007

149. Slay, D .H. (2002, February). Home-based environmental lighting assessments for people who are visually impaired: Developing techniques and tools. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness,* 109–114.

150. Smith, T. M., Ludwig, F., Andersen, L. T., & Copolillo, A. (2009). Engagement in occupation and adaptation to low vision. *Occupational Therapy in Health Care, 23*(2), 119–133. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07380570902788782

151. Sokol-McKay, D., Buskirk, K., & Whittaker, P. (2003). Adaptive low-vision and blindness techniques for blood glucose monitoring. *The Diabetes Educator*, *29*, 614–630. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/014572170302900408

152. Stelmack, J. A., Rinne, S., Mancil, R. M., Dean, D., Moran, D., Tange, X. C., . . . Massof, R. W. (2008). Successful outcomes from a structured curriculum used in the Veterans Affairs low vision intervention trial. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 102*, 636–648.

153. Steelman, F. (2011). Assistive technology interventions to improve occupational performance. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 153–178). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

154. Trozzolino, L., Thompson, P. S., Tansman, M. S., & Azen, S. P. (2003). Effects of a psychoeducational group on mood and glycemic control in adults with diabetes and visual impairments. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 97*, 230–239.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

155. Virgili, G., & Acosta R. (2006). Reading aids for adults with low vision. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 4. Art.No.: CD003303. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003303.pub2

156. Warren, M. (2011). Intervention for adults with vision impairment from acquired brain injury. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 403–448). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

157. Warren, M. (2009). A pilot study on activities of daily living limitations in adults with hemianopsia. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 63,* 626–633. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.63.5.626

158. Watson, G. R. (2001). Low vision in the geriatric population: Rehabilitation and management. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 49,* 317–330. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.4930317.x

159. Wells, J. (2011). Driving and driving cessation. In M. Warren & E. A. Barstow (Eds.), *Occupational therapy interventions for adults with low vision* (pp. 301--358). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.

© 2013 The American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc. All rights reserved.